

7. Field Studies (An example for an assignment that contributes to all or most of the credits of a course, using grade points for conversion purpose)
 This rubric is modified based on <http://www.enviroliteracy.org/pdf/materials/1205.pdf>)

Criteria	Excellent GP = 4	Good GP = 3	Satisfactory GP = 2	Marginal Pass GP = 1	Fail GP = 0
<i>At the Field Study Site</i>					
Preparedness	Brought all needed materials and was eager & ready to work.	Brought most needed materials and was ready to work.	Brought most needed materials but took a while to settle down and get to work	Forgot some needed materials and took a long time to settle down and work.	PLAGIARISM or NON SUBMISSION
Working with Others	Almost always listened to, shared with, and supported the efforts of others. Tried to keep people working well together.	Usually listened to, shared with, and supported the efforts of others. Did not cause "waves" in the group.	Sometimes listened to, shared with, and supported the efforts of others, but at times, was un-collaborative	Rarely listened to, shared with, and supported the efforts of others. Was hardly a good team player.	
Focus on the task	Consistently stayed focused on the task and what needed to be done. Very self-directed.	Focused on the task and what needed to be done most of the time. Other group members could count on you.	Focused on the task and what needed to be done some of the time. Other group members sometimes had to nag or remind you to stay on-task.	Rarely focused on the task and what needed to be done. Often let others do the work.	
Problem solving	Actively looked for and suggested new solutions to problems.	Refined solutions suggested by others.	Did not suggest or refine solutions, but was willing to try out solutions suggested by others.	Rarely try to solve problems or help others solve problems.	

Criteria	Excellent GP = 4	Good GP = 3	Satisfactory GP = 2	Marginal Pass GP = 1	Fail GP = 0
<i>During Class Discussion and Group Write-up</i>					
Contributions	Routinely provided useful ideas when collaborating and in discussion. A definite leader who contributed a lot of effort!	Usually provided useful ideas when collaborating and in discussion. A strong group member who tried hard!	Sometimes provided useful ideas when collaborating and in discussion. A group member who did only what is required.	Rarely provided useful ideas when collaborating and in discussion. Often refused to participate and preferred to work alone.	PLAGIARISM or NON SUBMISSION
Experimental Design	Experimental design was well-constructed. Variables were controlled; extensive observations and # of samples/replicates were made.	Experimental design left some unanswered questions. Most variables were controlled, sufficient # of samples/replicates were made.	Experimental design left unanswered questions. An attempt was made to control variables and minimal observations and # of samples/replicates were made.	Experimental design was poorly executed and did not gather useful data or observations. Only a small amount of data/replicates were made.	
Scientific Concepts	Report illustrates an accurate and thorough understanding of scientific concepts underlying the study.	Report illustrates an accurate understanding of most scientific concepts underlying the study.	Report illustrates a limited understanding of scientific concepts underlying the study.	Report illustrates mostly inaccurate understanding of scientific concepts underlying the study.	
Data	Professional looking and accurate representation of the data in tables and/or graphs.	Accurate representation of the data in tables and/or graphs.	Accurate representation of the data in written form, but graphs or tables were poorly labeled and titled.	Limited data were shown OR data were mostly inaccurate.	
Calculations	All calculations were shown and analyzed with appropriate and understandable statistics.	Some calculations were shown and analyzed with appropriate and understandable statistics.	Some calculations were shown. They were not analyzed with inappropriate statistics that don't further understanding.	Limited calculations are shown OR results are mostly inaccurate or mislabeled and not statistically-analyzed.	
Background	Sources Several reputable background sources were used and cited correctly. Material was translated into student's own words.	A few reputable background sources were used and cited correctly. Material was translated into student's own words.	A few background sources were used but were cited incorrectly. Material was translated into student's own words.	Material was mostly directly copied from other sources and/or background sources were mostly cited incorrectly.	
Conclusion	Conclusion included whether the findings supported the hypothesis, possible sources of error, and what was learned from the experiment.	Conclusion included whether the findings supported the hypothesis and what was learned from the experiment.	Conclusion included what was learned from the experiment.	Limited conclusion was included in the report OR showed little effort and reflection.	